INDEX

ABA. *See* Applied behavior analysis
Abbs, J. H., 280, 281
Abnormal stress, 299
Acoustic measure, 280
ACTS. *See* Auditory Comprehensive Test for Sentences
ACTS, defined, 161
ACTS-R. *See* Sentence repetition
Adamovich, B., 126
Adams, S. G., 284
Administering tests, 8
Alavi, A., 70
Albert, M. L., 159
Alexander, M. P., 70, 72, 74
Alternating treatment design (ATD), 43
Alzheimer's disease, and reading comprehension, 78–79
American Psychiatric Association, 79
American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, 166
Analyses of variance (ANOVA), 113, 127, 129, 144–145, 157
Analytical
  component, 32–33
  directions, applied aphasia analysis
    method
      analytical dimensions, 42–44
      interobserver reliability, 44
      technical dimensions, 44
    results
      analytical dimensions, 44–48
      technical dimensions, 49–51
    summary and discussion, 51–52
ANOVA. *See* Analyses of variance
Anterior lesion, sentential stress production study,
  discussion, 314–315
  method, 309–312
  results, 312–314
Anthrax study, predictability, 24–27
AOS. *See* Apraxia of speech
Aphasia
  defined, 5
  label, 12
  quotient (AQ), 97, 245
  research, predictability, 27–29
Aphemia, 70–71
Appell, J., 78
Applied aphasia analysis, review of
  method, 42–44
  results, 44–51
  summary and discussion, 51–52
Applied behavior analysis (ABA), 32–33, 268
Apraxia of speech (AOS)
  prosodic features study
    discussion, 303–305
    methods
      procedures, 297–300
      subjects, 296–297
    results
      other prosodic features, 301–303
      syllabic stress, 300–301
  sentential stress production study
    discussion, 314–315
    method, 309–312
    results, 312–314
  speech kinematics study
    discussion, 290–292
    method and procedures
      procedures, 281–285
      subjects, 281
    results
      closing gestures, 285–290
      opening gestures, 290
AQ. *See* Aphasia quotient
Argye, Elizabeth Hillis, 255
Aronson, A. E., 281, 284, 296, 297, 304, 308, 310
Arrigoni, G., 96
Assal, G., 96
Ataxic dysarthric group, speech kinematics study
  discussion, 290–292
  method and procedures
    procedures, 281–285
    subjects, 281
  results
    closing gestures, 285–290
    opening gestures, 290
ATD. *See* Alternating treatment design
Aten, J. L., 27, 227
Auditory Comprehensive Test for Sentences (ACTS), 153–158
AYSTANT+ program, 281

Baer, D. M., 32–33, 39, 42, 45, 172, 275
Baker, E., 147, 186, 220, 224
Baratz, R., 210
Barlow, D. H., 43, 49
Barlow, S. M., 281
Barnes, N. S., 27

Barresi, B., 186–187, 193
Barsalou, L. W., 140, 148
Barton, M., 96
Basili, A. G., 158
Basso, A., 56
Bay, E., 96
Bayles, K. A., 12, 28, 78
BDAE. *See* Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination
Bean, C., 280, 290, 291
Beeson, P. M., 78
Behrens, S., 308
Behrmann, M., 256
Bellaire, Karen J., 210, 216, 224
Benson, D. F., 78, 96, 152, 296, 315
Benton, A. L., 88, 269
Benton, D., 96, 105, 308
Bergman, G., 296
Bergstein, Ellis E., 51
Berry, T., 186, 220
Beukelman, D., 177, 227, 296
Bilateral groups, 9
Blissymbols, 220
Blum, C., 78
Blumstein, S.E., 147, 308
BNT. *See* Boston Naming Test
Bonvillian, J. D., 210
Book of Sapolsky, 24
Book of Urology, 23
Boone, D. R., 12, 79
Borkowski, J. G., 88
Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination (BDAE), 56, 119, 141–142, 146–147, 153–154, 200, 205
Boston Naming Test (BNT), 141, 146–147
Bottenberg, Donna, 110, 118, 123, 126
Bourgeois, M. S., 164, 172, 230, 238
Boyle, M., 110, 198
Brain glucose metabolism, study
  methods, 71–72
  results and discussion, 72–75
Brannegan, R., 27
Brenneise-Sarshard, Robin, 120
Broca's aphasia, 56, 70–75, 118, 157, 230, 308, 310
Brookshire, R. H., 3, 7, 8, 27, 32, 78, 81–82, 85, 152, 176, 269
Brown, G. G., 10, 12
Brown, J. R., 281, 284, 296, 297, 304, 308, 310
Brown, J. W., 140, 147–148, 152
Brown, M. B., 88, 90
Brownell, H. H., 126
Brunner, E., 308
Buonnano, F. S., 56
Burger, L. H., 47
Burns, M. S., 304
Butterworth, B., 148
Buyssens, E., 308
Byng, S., 256
Byrne, M. E., 164, 172, 238, 240

CAC. *See* Clinical Aphasiology Conference
Caligiuri, M., 227, 280, 284, 290, 291
Campbell, D. T., 186
Camras, L. R., 71
Canter, G., 153, 304
Caplan, D., 198
Cappa, S.F., 56
Caramazza, A., 158, 256, 257
Carter, J., 27
Case study design, 33–36, 42
Castellan, N., 98–100
Categories, exemplar verification study of
  discussion, 146–149
  method
    materials, 141–143
    procedures, 144
    subjects, 141
  results, 144–146
CCAT. *See* Comprehensive Communication Aphasia Test
Cegla, B., 220, 224
Chafe, W. L., 110
Chapey, R., 149
Chapman, J. P., 10
Chapman, K., 172
Chapman, L. J., 10
CIU. *See* Correct information units
Clark, H., 110
Classification attributes, 5–6, 8
Clinical Aphasiology, 32–39, 42, 45–49
Clinical Aphasiology Conference (CAC) proceedings, 33–36
Clinical setting, fluent aphasia study
  discussion, 273–276
  method
    stimulus materials and procedures, 268–273
    subject, 268

Code, C., 256
Coelho, C., 110, 210
Cohen, J., 16
Cohesion analysis, 114, 126, 128, 130
Cole, K., 281
Collins, M. J., 186
Coltheart, M., 256
Communication board study
  discussion, 224–227
  method
    design, 221–222
    picture board stimuli, 221
    reliability, 223
    settings, 221
    subjects, 220
  results, 224
Comparison of reading treatment, 243–53
Comprehensive Communication Aphasia Test (CCAT), 245, 249–252
Computed tomography (CT) scans, 56–60, 153
Computerized treatment program study
  conclusions and discussion, 250–253
  method, 245–248
  results, 248–250
Conceptually salient treatment, 33
Conduction aphasia
  CT scan data for, 56
  replication of protocol for repetition deficit
    discussion, 205–207
    method
      baseline, 201–202
      treatment, 202
    results, 202–205
    subjects, 198–201
  sentence comprehension and repetition study
    discussion, 157–159
    method
      scoring, 155
      subjects, 153
      testing, 153–155
    results
      comprehension vs. repetition, 155
      relationships and repetition scores, 155–156
      sentence type and repetition, 157
  speech kinematics study
    discussion, 290–292
    method and procedures
      procedures, 281–285
      subjects, 281
    results
      closing gestures, 285–290
      opening gestures, 290
Connell, P. J., 275
Content words, and RET, 172–182
Contrasting group comparisons, 5
Conversational skills study
  discussion, 238–240
  method
    descriptive analyses, 235
    experimental design and procedures, 232–235
    participants, 231
    reliability, 235–326
    response definitions and scoring, 231–232
    settings, 231
  results
    descriptive data, 238
    generalization data, 236–238
    training data, 236
Cooke, J. D., 292
Cookie Thief, 297
Correct information units (CIU), 269
Correia, L., 176
CORR procedure, 135–136
Counterbalancing, 49–51
Criteria, for groups, 6–8
CT. *See* Computed tomography
Cummings, J. L., 78, 105
Cycle of cycles, 29
Cycle of time, 29

Dabul, B., 297
Damasio, A., 56, 152
Damasio, H., 56, 152, 309
Danley, M., 308
Dann, R., 70
Darley, F. L., 119, 152, 281, 284, 296, 297, 304, 308, 310
DAT. *See* Dementia of the Alzheimer's
Davis, A., 136
Davis, G. A., 32, 42, 110, 114, 118, 123, 208
Deal, J. L., 7
Delis, D., 126

Dementia
of the Alzheimer's (DAT), 79
group comparisons, 5–6
reading comprehension study,
discussion, 85
methods and procedures
assessment instruments, 80–82
procedures, 82
subjects, 79–80
results, 82–85
Demonstration style study, 34, 36–40
DePiero, Joy, 163
DeRenzi, E., 4, 61, 96, 257, 310
Description, of group, 6–8
See also Group comparisons
DeWitt, L. D., 56
Diagnostic & Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-III-R), 79
Dietz, S. M., 39
Directions, applied aphasia analysis
method
analytical dimensions, 42–44
interobserver reliability, 44
technical dimensions, 44
results
analytical dimensions, 44–48
technical dimensions, 49–51
summary and discussion, 51–52
Discussion of reviews, 37–40
treatment research, 38
Disimoni, F. G., 119
Dixon, W. J., 89–90
Dominant hand, writing, study of, 88–93
Doyel, A., 126
Doyle, P. J., 164, 172, 230, 238
Drawing performance, and mild aphasia, study of
methods
drawing performance, 98
written picture description, 97–98
results
classification, 98–100
interjudge numerical scoring reliability, 100–104
intrajudge reliability, 98
Drift, technical
discussion, 37–40
purpose, 33
results, 34
research designs, 35
research styles, 37
review of CAC proceedings, 33–34
Dronkers, Nina F., 15, 17
DSM-III-R. *See* Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
Duffy, J., 105
Duffy, Joseph R., 7, 11, 29, 71, 187
Duffy, R. J., 7, 11, 71, 187, 210
Duncan multiple-range test, 61–62, 65

Effectiveness studies, 42, 44–45
Electromyographic measure, 280
Elman, R. J., 17
Emmorey, K., 308, 314
Enderby, P., 244
Engleman, L., 88, 90
Equal and even stress, 296
Equal stress defined, 299
Errors, types of, 9–10
Exemplar verification for categories
discussion, 146–149
method
materials, 141–143
procedures, 144
subjects, 141
Newman-Keuls analyses, 145
results, 144–146
schematic of timing apparatus, 143

Falioni, P., 257
Falling night watchmen study, 24–27
FDG PET. *See* Fluorodeoxyglucose
Feldman, L., 308
Ferrari, C., 61
Ferro, J., 308
FIM. *See* Functional Independence Measure
Final issues, equating severity, 11
Fisher, B., 198–201, 205
Fisman, M., 79
Fitzpatrick, P. M., 186–187, 193
Fleming, S., 105
Fletcher, J. M., 6, 12, 90
Fluency study, 70–75
Fluent aphasia treatment study
discussion, 273–276
method
stimulus materials and procedures, 268–273
subject, 268
Fluent aphasia group, category verification study, 140–148
Fluent-mixed aphasia, 118
Fluorodeoxyglucose, 70–71

Fodor, C., 308
Folkins, J. W., 280, 290, 291
Folstein, M. F., 80
Folstein, S. E., 80
France, J. W., 88, 90
Freeman, M., 70, 72, 74
Freeman-Stern, R., 126
Friedman, R. J., 210
Fromm, D., 96, 280
Frontal lobe lesions, 57–59, 61–64, 70–75
Frontal-parietal lobe lesions, 57–59, 61–64
Functional communication board, training study
  discussion, 224–227
  generalization training, 222–223
  method
    design, 221–222
    picture board stimuli, 221
    reliability, 223
    settings, 221
    subjects, 220
  results, 224
Functional conversational skills study
  discussion, 238–240
  method
    descriptive analyses, 235
    experimental design and procedures, 232–235
    participants, 231
    reliability, 235–326
    response definitions and scoring, 231–232
    settings, 231
  results
    descriptive data, 238
    generalization data, 236–238
    training data, 236
Functional Independence Measure (FIM), 165–167

Gabriel, C., 164
Gabriel, R., 112
Gaddie, A., 164
Galaburda, A. M., 70
Garcia-Bunel, L., 27
Gardner, H., 105, 126, 152, 159, 186, 220, 224
Garrett, K., 227
Gazzaniga, M., 186
General linear model (GLM), 127, 129
Generalizable treatment outcome, 33, 47
Georges, J. B., 210, 216, 224
Geriatric subjects
  dementia study, 78–85
  exemplar verification for categories study, 140–149
  verbal discourse study, 126–136
  writing differences of, 88–93
Geschwind, N., 152
Glass, A. V., 186
Glenn, C. G., 114, 129
GLM. *See* General linear model
Global aphasia,
  CT scans, 56
  visual action therapy study
    discussion, 193–194
    methods and procedures,
      baseline data, 188
      experimental design, 188
      reliability, 189
      stimuli, 187–188
      subjects, 186–187
      treatment program, 187
      treatment schedule, 189
    results, 189–193
Goal-derived ad hoc category verification, 140–149
Goldstein, H., 44, 164, 172
Golper, L., 47
Goodglass, H., 56, 96, 119, 141, 147, 152, 200, 205, 211, 269, 296, 308, 310
Goodman, R., 27
Goulet, P., 126
Granger, C., 165
Green, E., 152, 198
Greenbaum, H., 27
Greenberg, J., 70
Greenhouse, J., 96
Grek, A. J., 56
Grober, E., 140, 147–148
Grossman, M., 140
Group comparisons
  bilateral, 9
  contrasting, 5–8
  final issues, 11–12
  impairment groups, 10
  problems
    classification attributes, 5–6
    compounding, 8
    impairment, uniqueness of, 4–5
    severity, equating, 7

Group comparison, problems—*Continued*
statistical tests, meaning of, 4
test sensitivity, 4
validity, external, 3–4
validity, of criteria, 6–7
simple, 3–5
solutions
descriptions, improving group, 8
error types, 9–10
etiologies, 9
hypothesis, test more than one, 11
test administration, 9
variables, dependent, 10–11
variable relationships, 9
study design, 33–36

Hamby, S., 126
Hamilton, B., 165
Hamilton, C., 165
Hamsher, K., 56
Hand preference, writing study, 88–93
Hanna, G., 80
Hansen, A. M., 88, 96, 105
Hanson, Wayne R., 70–71, 280
Harris, K. S., 281
Hatfield, F., 96,256
Haviland, S., 110
Hayaski, M., 105
Hayes, S. C., 32–34, 39, 43, 45, 47
Hayward, R. W., 56
HCFA. *See* Health Care Finance Administration
Health Care Finance Administration (HCFA), 165
Hecaen, H., 96
Hedberg, N., 110, 113–114, 126
Hegde, M. N., 43
Helm-Estabrooks, N., 186–187, 193
Henneaux, J., 308
Herdan, S., 256
Herson, M., 43, 49
Higher-level questions, NRST, 81
Hill, M. A., 78, 88, 90
Hillis, A. E., 256, 263
Hirose, H., 280
Hirst, W., 78
Hoffman, E. J., 72
Holland, A. L., 30, 96, 149, 164, 227
Hopkins, K., 112
Hough, Monica S., 140, 148
Houlihan, J. P., 78
Howard, D., 148, 256
Howes, D. H., 152
Huang, S. C., 72
Hubler, V., 207
Hunt, K., 113, 120, 126
Hyman, L., 296, 304

Impairment, information, 4
Importance of statistical power, 16–17
Improving classifications, 11
Independent style study, 34, 36–40
Infarcts, and lesion data, 65
Interhand differences, in writing, study, 88–93
Interjudge, 100–101, 191, 269
International Phonetic Association (IPA), 299
Intrajudge, 98, 100, 269, 311
Intrasubject replication, 49
IPA. *See* International Phonetic Association
Itoh, M., 280, 290

JABA. *See* Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis
Jackson, Catherine A., 72
James, M., 96
Jargon response, 273
Jenkins, L., 186
Jennrich, R. I., 88, 90
Jimenez-Pabon, E., 186
Joanette, Y., 126, 198, 308
Johannsen-Horbach, H., 220, 224
Johnston, A., 164
Johnston, J. M., 33–34, 38
Jones-Gotman, M., 96
Jones, R., 244, 253
Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis (JABA), 39
Juola, J., 263

Kaplan, E., 56, 119, 141, 152, 200, 205, 211, 269, 297, 310
Kaszniak, A. W., 12, 28, 78
Katz, R. C., 28, 244
Kazdin, A. E., 44
Kearns, Kevin P., 42–43, 164, 172, 175–176, 198, 211, 275
Keenan, J., 96
Keith, R., 29, 105, 119
Kellar, L., 140, 147–148
Keller, E., 207

Kempler, Daniel, 70, 72
Kendall, P., 42
Kent, R. D., 280, 291, 296, 299, 308, 314, 315
Kerschensteiner, M., 308
Kertesz, A., 79, 96, 105, 174, 200, 220, 245, 268, 269, 308
Kimbarrow, M., 110
Kinematic measure, 280
Kinsbourne, M., 96
Kirk, R., 312
Kirshner, H. S., 210
Kirtani, S., 280
Kistler, J. P., 56
Knopman, D., 308
Knowledgeable listener, 115, 118–120, 122
Knox, A., 263
Koepsell, T. D., 22
Koller, J. J., 158
Kramer, H. C., 16
Kuehn, D. P., 280
Kuhl, D. E., 70–72
Kurtzke, J. F., 27
Kushner, M., 70

LAL. *See* Left anterior lesion
Language battery, 60–61, 66
LaPointe, L. L. 27, 42, 96, 308, 310
Larson, D., 308
Lebrun, Y., 308
Lecours, A. R., 56, 105, 198
LeDoux, J. F., 78
Left anterior lesion (LAL), sentential stress production study
  discussion, 314–315
  localization data, 310
  method, 309–312
  results, 312–314
Left-hemisphere-damaged aphasic (LHDA), 126–134
Left-hemisphere lesions, study of
  conclusions, 67
  discussion
    differences between groups, 66
    lesion data, 65–66
    speech-language battery, 66
  method
    CT scans, 57–60
    language battery, 60
    reliability measures, 60–61
    subjects, 57
  results
    aphasic subjects, 64
    brain-damaged, other, 63–64
    differences between groups, 65
    frontal lobe lesions, 62
    frontal-parietal lesions, 63
    lesion data, 65
    normal subjects, 61
Lehiste, I., 296
Leischner, A., 96
Lemme, Margaret L., 110, 118, 123, 126
Lesion locus, 310
Lesions, left-hemisphere, study of, 56–67
Levine, D. N., 57
LHDA. *See* Left-hemisphere-damaged aphasic
Liles, B. Z., 110, 128
Liss, J., 291
Listener knowledge
  aphasic/non-brain-damaged subjects study
    discussion, 123
    method
      procedures, 119–120
      subjects, 118–119
    results
      effects, of aphasia type, 121
      effects, of listener conditions, 122
  design, 126
  knowledgeable/naive, 115, 118–120, 122
  shared and unshared study
    data analysis, 113
    methodology,
      data preparation, 112–113
      experimental task, 112
      subjects, 110–112
    results
      cohesion, 114
      productivity, 113–114
      story grammar, 114
    summary and discussion, 114
Literal questions, NRST, 81
Longstreth, W. T., 23
Loose training, 164, 172, 275
Lorge, I., 153, 161
Love, R., 126
Loverso, F. L., 47, 164, 172, 210
Lubinski, R., 149

Lynch, W. J., 244, 253
Lyon, J., 96

Macaluso-Haynes, S., 126
MacLennan, D. L., 81
Macmillan Software Company, 281
MacNeilage, P. F., 280
Mager, V., 220, 224
Malec, J., 244, 253
MANOVA. *See* Multivariate analysis of variance
Manual sign acquisition study
  discussion, 216–217
  method
    design, 211–212
    generalization probes, 212–213
    manual signs, 212
    reliability, 213
    subjects, 210–211
    training, 212
  results, 213–215
Marcie, P., 96
Marin, O. S., 78
Marquardt, T., 308
Marshall, Robert C., 198–201, 205
Mass spring, 292
Mayer, M., 119
Mayo Clinic, left-hemisphere lesion study, 57
Mazziotta, J. C., 70, 308
McHugh, P. R., 80
McLoughlin, P., 148
McNeil, M. R., 88, 96, 105, 164, 280, 284
McReynolds, L. V., 43, 49, 51, 175, 298, 211, 275
Measurement, and generalization relationships, 164–169
Medawar, P. B., 28
Mehler, J., 105
Mervis, C. B., 140
Mesulam, M., 308
Methodological issues of studies, 2–13
Metter, E. J., 70–71
Michael, J., 39
Michellow, D., 126
Midas touch, 52
Milberg, W., 147
Milenkovic, P., 281
Milianti, F. J., 27
Mills, R., 263
Milner, B., 96
Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), 80–82
  See also Reading comprehension
Misclassification of subject, mild aphasia study, 105
MMSE. *See* Mini-Mental State Examination
Model 1, and fluency, 72–73, 75
Model 2, and fluency, 73–75
Modified Token Test, 257
Mohr, J., 308
Moll, K. L., 280
Moody, E. J., 186, 210
Moraschini, S., 56
Morris, R. D., 6, 12
Muller, D. J., 256
Multiple baseline design, 45
Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), 113
Myers, J. L., 145
Myers, Penelope S., 126

Naeser, M. A., 56, 70, 72, 74
Nagy, V. T., 244
Naive listener, 115, 118–120, 122, 128
Nakalz, 260
Nakles, K., 172, 230, 238
Naming process, separate treatments study
  breakdown levels
    disproportionate verbal errors, 257–258
    semantic errors, 258–259
  case history, 257
  discussion, 262–265
  treatment
    methods, 259–260
    results, 260–262
Narrative discourse, RHDA, study of
  methods
    analyses, 128–129
    design, 129
    procedure, 128
    subjects, 127–128
    transcript preparation, 128
  results
    cohesion, 130
    content, 130
    questions responses, 131–134
    structure, 130
Nathan, A., 10, 12

National Institute of Health and Research, 165
NCCEA. *See* Neurosensory Center Comprehensive Examination for Aphasia
Nelson Reading Skills Test (NRST), 81–84
  See also Reading Comprehension
Nespoulous, J. L., 126, 198
Netsell, N. R., 280
NeuroECAT scanner, 71
Neurogenic dysgraphias, interpretation of, 93
Neurologically normal (NN), 126
Neurologic communication disorders, group comparisons
  contrasting, 5–8
  problems, 3–8
  severity of disorder, 7–8
  simple, 3–5
Neurosensory Center Comprehensive Examination for Aphasia (NCCEA), 269
New England Pantomine Tests, 187
Newman-Keuls tests, 145, 157
Niccum, N., 308
Nicholas, L. E., 78, 81–82, 85, 120, 269
Nicholas, L. S., 176
NN. *See* Neurologically normal
Nondominant hand, writing, study of, 88–93
Noninitial struggle, defined, 299
Nonverbal aphasic subjects, 219–227
  discussion, 224–227
  method
    design, 221–222
    picture board stimuli, 221
    reliability, 223–224
    settings, 221–222
    subjects, 220–221
  results, 224
Normal subjects, and language battery, 61
North, A., 126
Norton-Ford, 42
Novel content words, and RET, 177, 180
NRST. *See* Nelson Reading Skills Test
Null hypothesis, 16

Open juncture, defined, 299
Ottenbacher, K. J., 202–203

PACE. *See* Promoting aphasics' communicative effectiveness
PACE therapy, listener knowledge, 115, 123
Parallel testing format, sentence comprehension, 152–159
Parente, M., 105
PC. *See* Precentral gyrus
Pearson correlation, 74–75, 146, 155
Pearson, K. T., 7, 11
Pearson, R., 61, 135
Pennypacker, H.S., 33–34, 38
Perecman, E., 147–148
Pettit, John M., 186
PF. *See* Prefrontal area
Phelps, M. E., 70–72
Phonemic, 263
Phonologic output lexicon, 257
PICA. *See* Porch Index of Communicative Ability
Pieczuro, A., 310
Pierce, Robert S., 140, 148
Podraza, B., 105
Poeck, K., 308
Poole, E., 200
Porch, B. E., 3, 60, 89, 112, 153, 174, 186, 191, 199, 200, 206, 211, 245, 297
Porch Index of Communicative Ability (PICA), 3, 27–28, 57, 60–61, 89, 112, 119, 123, 128, 152–153, 174, 186, 188, 191–193, 199–200, 206, 211, 245, 249–252, 271–272, 297
Post hoc evaluation, sample size, 17–18
Potechin, G., 172
Precentral gyrus (PC), 72–73
Predictability, greater than $p < .05$
  defined, 22
  implications of, 28
  research
    anthrax treatment, 24–27
    asphasia, 27–29
    falling night watchmen, 24–27
    gender and SAT math scores, 26–27
    prostate dilatation, 23–24
Prefrontal area (PF), 72–73
Prefrontal glucose hypometabolism, 70
Prelock, P., 172
Premack, D., 186
Prescott, T.E., 47, 88, 164
Primed targets, 17

Proctor, E., 42
Programmatic style study, 34, 36–40
Promoting aphasics' communicative effectiveness (PACE), 118, 123
Prosodic features comparison study
  discussion, 303–305
  methods
    procedures, 297–300
    subjects, 296–297
  results
    other prosodic features, 301–303
    syllabic stress, 300–301
Prostate dilatation study, 23–24

Quadfasel, F., 308

Rao, N., 244, 253
Rau, M., 47
Raven Coloured Progressive Matrices, 88
Raven, J. C., 88
Ray, R. M., 90
Reading comprehension
  computerized treatment program study
    conclusions and discussion, 250–253
    method, 245–248
    results, 248–250
  elderly dementia subjects study
    discussion, 85
    methods and procedures
      assessment instruments, 80–81
      procedures, 82
      subjects, 79–80
    results, 82–85
  Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), 80–82, 84
  Nelson Reading Skills Test (NRST), 81–84
Read, S., 78
Reductionism, 52
Regional glucose metabolism, 71
Reivich, M., 70
Reliability
  classification results, 98–100, 102
  drawing scores, 98, 104
  interjudge, 100–101, 269
  interobserver, 44, 51
  intrajudge, 98, 100, 269
  of writing and drawing performance, 95–105
  writing scores, 103
  written picture description, 97–98
Repetition deficit, conduction aphasia, 198–207
Replication, intrasubject, 49–50
Replication of protocol, conduction aphasia study
  discussion, 205–207
  method
    baseline, 201–202
    treatment, 202
  results, 202–203
  subjects, 198–201
Requests, conversational study, 231
Research designs, 35–36
Research style, defined, 34, 36–37
Response elaboration training (RET) effects
  described, 172
  descriptive analysis, 176–177
  discussion, 180–182
  experimental analysis
    baseline, 175–176
    design, 176
    treatment, 176
  loose training methods, 172
  methods
    stimuli, 175
    subjects, 174
  purpose, 174
  results
    experimental results, 177–179
    qualitative analyses, 179
    reliability, 177
RET. *See* Response elaboration training
RHD. *See* Right-hemisphere damage
Rice, R. M., 90
Riege, W. H., 70–71
Right-hemisphere damage (RHD), 5, 12
  narrative discourse study
    methods
      analyses, 128–129
      design, 129
      procedure, 128
      subjects, 127–128
      transcript preparation, 128
    results
      cohesion, 130
      content, 130
      responses, 131–134
      structure, 130
Riley, L., 164

Rincover, A., 32–34, 39, 43, 45, 47
Risley, T. R., 32–33, 42, 45
Rivers, D., 126
Robin, D. A., 280, 290, 291
Rosch, E., 140–141, 43
Rosenbek, J., 2, 96, 280, 290, 291, 296, 308, 314, 315
Ross, E., 308
Rowan, L., 172
Rowland, P. E., 73
Rubens, A., 308
Ryalls, J., 308

Saffran, E. M., 78
Salmon, S., 164, 263
Sample size
  estimation of, 16–17
  post hoc evaluation, 17–18
Sanders, S. B., 207
Sands, E., 186
Sapolsky, R. M., 23, 25–27, 29
Sarno, M. T., 186
Sasanuma, S., 280, 290, 291
SAS Institute, Inc., 129, 135
SAT. *See* Scholastic Aptitude Test
Sawashima, M., 280
Sawyer, J. D., 10
Schell, L. M., 80
Schempp, B., 220, 224
Schiff, H. B., 70
Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), math scores, 26–27
Schreiner, R., 81
Schuell, H., 186, 310
Schulhoff, C., 308
Schwartz, M. F., 78
Schwartz, R. G., 172
Sechrest, L., 51
Sefer, H., 310
Selin, C. S., 72
Selinger, M., 47
Selnes, O., 308
Semmes, J., 7
Sensitivity, among dependent variables, 10
Sentence comprehension, conduction aphasia study
  discussion, 157–159
  method
    scoring, 155
    subjects, 153
    testing, 153–155
  results
    comprehension vs. repetition, 155
    relationships and repetition scores, 155–156
    sentence type and repetition, 157
Sentence repetition (ACTS-R), 153, 155–157
Sentential stress production study
  discussion, 314–315
  method, 309–312
  results, 312–314
Severity, of disorder, 7–8
Sevush, S., 78
Shallice, T., 158
Shankweiler, D., 280
Shapiro, B., 308
Shared listener knowledge, study of
  data analysis, 113
  methodology
    data preparation, 112–113
    experimental task, 112
    subjects, 110–112
  results
    cohesion, 114
    productivity, 113–114
    story grammar, 114
  summary and discussion, 114
Shatz, M. W., 10, 12
Sherwin, F., 165
Shewan, C. M., 105, 153, 157–158, 308
Shrier, R., 147
Shubitowski, Y., 51
Sidman, M., 49
Siegel, S., 98–100, 202
Silverman, M., 195
Simmons, N. N., 47, 172
Sims, E., 96
Single subject design study, 32–40, 42–52
Ska, B., 126, 198
Slauson, T. J., 12, 78
SMA. *See* Supplementary Motor Area
Smith, R. D., 47
Sokoloff, L., 72
Solnick, J. V., 32–34, 39, 43, 45, 47
Some Current Dimensions of Applied Behavior, 42
Sonderman, J. C., 164
Southwood, H., 47
Speech kinematics study
  discussion, 290–292

Speech kinematics study—*Continued*
method and procedures
procedures, 281–285
subjects, 281
results
closing gestures, 285–290
opening gestures, 290
Speech-language battery, 60–61, 66
Speech-language performance study, 57–67
Spreen, O., 88, 269
Stanley, J. C., 186
Statements, conversational study, 232
Statistical power
conclusions, 18
defined, 16
importance
post hoc evaluation, 17–18
sample size, estimation, 16–17
Statistical tests, meaning of, 4
Stein, N. L., 105, 129
Stern, M., 70
Stoel-Gammon, C., 113–114
Stokes, T. F., 172, 275
Story grammar analysis, 114, 126, 129, 134
Story retelling study, 126–136
Story telling, and listener knowledge study
discussion, 123
method
procedures, 119–120
subjects, 118–119
results
effects, of aphasia type, 121
effects, of listener conditions, 122
Strub, R. L., 159
Stubbs, K., 244, 253
Sullivan, M. P., 198–201, 205
Supplementary Motor Area (SMA), 70–75
Survival times, 26
Sussman, H. M., 280, 308
Swindell, C., 96
Syllable segregation, 299
Syntactic complexity, 161

Tashman, J., 165
Taylor, M. L., 280
TCM. *See* Transcortical motor asphasia
Teachers' Workbook of 30,000 Words, 153, 161
Technical directions, in applied aphasia analysis
method, 42–44
analytical dimensions, 42–44
interobserver reliability, 44
technical dimensions, 44
results, 44–51
analytical dimensions, 44–48
technical dimensions, 49–51
summary and discussion, 51–52
Technical drift, of research styles
discussion, 37–40
purpose, 33
results, 34
research designs, 35
research styles, 37
review of CAC proceedings, 33–34
Terrell, B., 172
Test
administration, 9
hypothesis, 11
sensitivity, 4
Thiemann, S., 16
Thompson, Cynthia K., 42, 44, 49, 51, 52, 164, 169, 172, 206, 210, 216, 224, 238, 240, 275
Thorndike, W. L., 153, 161
Timberlake, W., 308
Timing apparatus, schematic of, 143
Token Test, 4, 88, 257
Tomoeda, C. K., 12, 78
Tonkovich, J., 172, 210
Toporek, J. D., 88, 90
Total words, 176
Towey, M. P., 186
Transcortical motor asphasia (TCM), 56, 70–71
Translational questions, NRST, 81
Treatment studies
analysis of, 42–52
design types, 34–37
Trends, technical, 50
Trost, J. E., 304
Tryon, W. W., 204
Tseng, C. H., 291
Tukey, J. W., 80–82
Tumors and lesion data, 65
Tzortzis, C., 159

Ulatowska, H., 96, 110, 126
Uniqueness of impairment, 4–5

Unshared listener knowledge, study of
  data analysis, 113
  methodology
    data preparation, 112–113
    experimental task, 112
    subjects, 110–112
  results
    cohesion, 114
    productivity, 113–114
    story grammar, 114
  summary and discussion, 114
Ushijima,T., 280

Validity, external, group comparisons, 3–4
Validity, internal, 51
van Belle, G., 22
Vanier, M., 56
van Lancker, 70
Variables, in group comparisons, 9–11
Varney, N., 56
VAT. *See* Visual action therapy
Verbal discourse, study of RHDA, 126–136
Vetter, D. K., 88, 96, 105
VIC. *See* Visual communication
Vignolo, L. A., 4, 56, 308, 310
Visual action therapy (VAT)
  discussion, 193–194
  methods and procedures
    baseline data, 188
    experimental design, 188
    reliability, 189
    stimuli, 187–188
    subjects, 186–187
    treatment program, 187
    treatment schedule, 189
  results, 189–193
Visual communication (VIC), 220
Vogel, D., 27

WAB. *See* Western Aphasia Battery
Wapner, W., 126
Warner, E., 164, 172
Warren, R. L., 52, 164
Warrington, E. K., 78, 96, 158
Watt, J., 11, 71
Webb, W. G., 210
Wechsler, A. F., 126
Wee factor, 23–24
Weinstraub, S., 141
Weismer, G., 284
Weiss, D. G., 27
Wernicke's aphasia, 56, 157
Wertz, R. T., 2, 7, 17, 27, 47, 96, 310
Western Aphasia Battery (WAB), 72, 97–98, 245, 249–252
Wheeler, K. M., 47
Wilcox, M. J., 110, 115, 118, 123
Wilson, R. S., 8
Winer, B. J., 61, 80–82, 112, 145, 46, 157, 168
Wingate, M., 296
Winner, E., 105, 152
Withdrawal/reversal design, 45
Wolf, M. M., 32–33, 42, 45
Word-dictation tasks, 88
Word Fluency Measure, 88
Writing differences, hand preference
  Raven Coloured Progressive Matrices, 88
  study of
    methods and procedures, 88–90
    results and discussion, 90–93
  Token Test, Revised, 88
  Word Fluency Measure, 88
Writing performance, and mild aphasia, study of,
  discussion, 105
  methods
    drawing performance, 98
    written picture description, 97–98
  results
    classification, 98–100
    interjudge numerical scoring reliability, 100–104
    intrajudge reliability, 98

Yeaton, W. H., 51
Yorkston, K., 126, 177, 296
Yoshioka, H., 280

Zangwill, O., 96
Zielezny, M., 165
Zurif, E. B., 186